

## ASYNCHRONOUS PIPELINE CONTROL INTERFACE

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

#### 1. Technical Field:

The present invention relates in general to asynchronous logic circuits, and in particular, to an asynchronous control circuit. More particularly, the present invention relates to a tag logic interface for introducing synchronous control signals within an asynchronous pipeline.

#### 2. Description of the Related Art:

Improvements in microprocessor performance are often measured in terms of instructions per cycle divided by cycle time. In such terms, microprocessor performance may be improved by either increasing the amount of useful work per cycle or by reducing cycle time. The total amount of work per cycle can be increased by executing multiple instructions in parallel and by avoiding stall conditions through speculation and out-of-order processing.

Increasing processor frequency is commonly achieved through either improvements in Complementary Metal Oxide Semiconductor (CMOS) devices or by adding processing stages within the processing architecture to reduce the amount of processing work per stage, or equivalently, per cycle. In comparison with most microarchitectural mechanisms that aim to increase the amount of work per

5

10

25

30

independently based on local events (an asynchronous pipeline).

5 In synchronous pipelines, synchronization of the  
different processing stages requires that the frequency  
of the global control clock accommodate the foreseeable  
worst-case delay for the slowest processing stage. Thus,  
in a synchronous pipeline design, some processing stages  
will complete respective operations earlier than other  
10 stages and must then wait for all processing stages to  
complete their operations. The speed of synchronous  
processing is directly controlled by the global clock  
frequency and thus can be increased by increasing the  
speed of the global clock.

A problem with increasing the synchronous clock  
frequency is clock skew. A circuit can operate  
synchronously only if all parts of the circuit receive a  
clock signal at the same time. However, clock signals  
are delayed as they propagate through the system and,  
even on a single chip, clock skew is a problem at higher  
frequencies. Additionally, as cycle time is reduced,  
synchronous pipeline efficiency is also reduced due to  
the constant clocking and latching overhead per cycle.

25 Asynchronous pipelines avoid worst-case timing and  
clock skew problems since they include no external clock  
to govern the timing of state changes among the pipelined  
stages. Instead, asynchronous stages exchange data at  
mutually negotiated times with no external timing  
30 regulation. More specifically, these mutually negotiated  
exchanges are locally synchronized using event-driven

communication in which logic transitions on control lines act to request the start of a transfer and acknowledge its completion. By removing the global clock, asynchronous pipelines have the advantage of elimination of clock skew problems, freedom from worst-case design restrictions, and automatic power-down of unused circuitry.

A "micropipeline" is a common asynchronous pipeline design invented by Ivan Sutherland as set forth in U.S. Pat. No. 4,837,740 and U.S. Pat. No. 5,187,800, the pertinent portions of which are incorporated herein by reference. The approach in Sutherland's micropipeline utilizes bundled data with a transition-signaled handshake protocol to control data transfers.

An "asynchronous interlocked pipeline" is an alternate design discussed in detail in the ISSCC Conference, paper WA 17.3, titled "Asynchronous Interlocked Pipelined CMOS Circuits," incorporated herein by reference. This type of pipeline circuitry utilizes latches to divide up the pipeline, wherein as with Sutherland's micropipeline, local handshaking replaces global clocking.

Asynchronous pipelines work well as an island of logic but at some point the asynchronous logic must interact with the overall synchronous design. Such interaction is problematic because the variable delay through asynchronous pipelines results in timing mismatches with synchronous latches.

One known solution to asynchronous/synchronous interfacing employs a synchronous delay chain. A number of synchronously controlled latches are connected in series with the number of latches in the chain being determined in accordance with the worst-case anticipated delay through the asynchronous pipeline. When data is sent through the asynchronous pipeline, a valid bit is simultaneously sent through the delay chain as a point of reference for when the synchronous logic can expect the asynchronous data to arrive. Such an interface technique suffers the obvious disadvantage of using the worst-case asynchronous delay as a timing limitation.

From the foregoing, it can be appreciated that a need exists within an asynchronous pipeline architecture for an improved interface between asynchronous and synchronous logic that would permit external management of asynchronous data as it travels through an asynchronous pipeline.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

An apparatus and method for externally managing data within an asynchronous pipeline are disclosed herein.

5 The asynchronous pipeline over which control is sought includes a data path and a control path. In accordance with the method of the present invention, a data tag value is assigned to the data prior to its entry into the asynchronous pipeline. The data tag value is sent into  
10 the control path at the same time the data is sent into its data path such that the data tag value passes through the asynchronous pipeline in parallel with the data to which it is assigned. At a given stage within the asynchronous pipeline, the data tag value is compared with a control tag value, and only in response to the data tag value matching the control tag value is the data permitted to pass to the next stage within the asynchronous pipeline.

15 All objects, features, and advantages of the present invention will become apparent in the following detailed written description.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The novel features believed characteristic of the invention are set forth in the appended claims. The invention itself however, as well as a preferred mode of use, further objects and advantages thereof, will best be understood by reference to the following detailed description of an illustrative embodiment when read in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein:

**FIG. 1** is a block diagram illustrating a conventional asynchronous pipeline control and data transfer interface;

**FIG. 2** depicts a conventional asynchronous pipeline architecture;

**FIG. 3** is a block diagram illustrating an asynchronous pipeline incorporating an external control interface, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

**FIG. 4** depicts an external control interface implemented within an asynchronous pipeline, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention; and

**FIG. 5** is a flow diagram illustrating steps performed for externally managing data within an asynchronous pipeline, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

This invention is described in a preferred embodiment in the following description with reference to the figures. While this invention is described in terms of the best mode for achieving this invention's objectives, it will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that variations may be accomplished in view of these teachings without deviating from the spirit or scope of the present invention.

With reference now to the figures wherein like reference numerals refer to like and corresponding parts throughout, and in particular with reference to **FIG. 1**, there is depicted a block diagram of a sender/receiver interface within a conventional micropipeline. Two stages of a conventional asynchronous pipeline **100** are depicted in **FIG. 1**, including a sender stage **102** that delivers data in accordance with an asynchronous pipeline handshake protocol to a receiver stage **104**. As depicted in **FIG. 1**, the interface between sender stage **102** and receiver stage **104** includes a data path **106**. A request line **110** and acknowledge line **108** are delivered over control paths.

A request signal from sender stage **102** to receiver stage **104** is delivered by a logic transition on line **110** when data at the output of sender stage **102** is valid (ready to be delivered to receiver stage **104**). An acknowledge signal from receiver stage **104** to sender stage **102** is delivered by a logic transition on



acknowledge line 108 when the data has been processed by receiver stage 104. This data transfer control protocol results in no upper bound delay between consecutive events. As long as the data bundling constraints are met (i.e., the data transfer occurs in accordance with the handshake protocol described above), asynchronous pipeline 100 is delay-insensitive.

With reference to FIG. 2, there is illustrated a conventional asynchronous pipeline 200 related to FIG. 1.

A data path 220 within asynchronous pipeline 200 includes data processing stages 222 and 224 wherein data is processed in accordance with within combinatorial logic functions  $n-1$  and  $n$ .

Data path 220 further includes a series of level-sensitive half-latches 214, 216, and 218 that hold and propagate data between processing stages 222 and 224 as well as previous and subsequent processing stages not depicted. A wide variety of latch designs are available for latches 214, 216 and 218 including, for example, level-sensitive D-latches. In accordance with well known level-sensitive half-latch operating principles, a particular control signal polarity (high or low) will cause such latches to open and thus become transparent to data at their inputs. The sequence and timing of the latching stages must be carefully set to prevent data collisions among the respective data processing stages. In the depicted example, it is assumed that latches 214, 216, and 218 are opened upon receiving a high control signal.

Asynchronous pipeline **200** further includes a control path **215** comprising multiple control elements for providing sequential data transfer control between data processing stages **222** and **224**. Specifically three Muller C-elements **202**, **204**, and **206** are utilized to implement such asynchronous pipeline control.

A detailed description of Muller C-elements is provided in by Sutherland in Micropipelines, 32 Communications of ACM 720 (1989), the subject matter of which is incorporated herein by reference. Alternative logic configurations for constructing a C-element such as those depicted in U.S. Pat. No. 5,732,233 (1998) are well-known in the art and are incorporated herein by reference.

In accordance with well-known C-element operating principles, the control output of any of C-elements **202**, **204**, or **206** changes state, regardless of its previous state, only after both of its *req* and *ack* inputs have changed state. Otherwise, each C-element retains its current state. Thereafter, if either one of *req* or *ack* changes states, the output remains unchanged from the immediately preceding state. When both *req* and *ack* have changed from high to low, or from low to high, the output also changes from high to low, or from low to high, as the case may be.

The *req* and *ack* lines depicted in **FIG. 2** form an inter-stage handshake interface between C-elements **202**, **204**, and **206**. Each of the *req* signals that are applied

as inputs to each C-element, originate as output data transfer enable signals from a previous stage. Each C-element also receives an *ack* input that is delivered from the output of the immediately subsequent C-element.

5

In addition to serving as handshake control signals *req* and *ack*, the outputs from each of C-elements **202**, **204**, and **206** are utilized as control inputs for level-sensitive latches **214**, **216**, and **218**, respectively.

10

Assuming positive level activation for the latches, a logic high produced as the latch control signal from a C-element results in opening the corresponding latch.

To pass data through latches **214** into processing stage **222**, C-element **202** asserts a data transfer enable signal at its output. The asserted data transfer enable signal propagates through a delay device **208** to assert  $req_n$  at the input of C-element **204**. Delay device **208** is included within the control line connecting the output of C-element **202** to the input of C-element **204** to delay the assertion of  $req_n$  with respect to the activation signal applied by C-element **202** to latches **214** to ensure that the data is valid at the input of latches **216** prior to C-element opening latches **216**.

25

Upon receipt of  $req_n$ , and assuming that  $ack_{n+1}$  is low, C-element **204**, having received two logic highs at its inputs, produces a logic high at its output **212** thus opening level-sensitive latches **216** and allowing the data to pass through to processing stage **224**.

30

The asserted data transfer enable signal at output 212 asserts  $ack_n$  at the input of C-element 202. The rising edge of  $ack_n$  indicates that the data has been received and processed by processing stage 224. In accordance with the foregoing description of C-element behavior, the assertion of  $ack_n$  together with the de-assertion (high-to-low) of the  $req_{n-1}$  input to C-element 202 results in the data transfer enable signal at the output of C-element 202 being de-asserted and latches 214 being closed. The de-asserted transfer control signal at the output of C-element 202 is delayed through delay device 208 before de-asserting  $req_n$  at the input of C-element 204.

The acknowledge input to C-element 204,  $ack_{n+1}$ , has been asserted in sequence in the same manner as that described for  $ack_n$  by the time  $req_n$  has been de-asserted. Upon de-assertion of  $req_n$  and assertion of  $ack_{n+1}$ , data transfer control output 212 is de-asserted, resulting in the de-assertion of  $ack_n$ . The falling edges of  $req_n$  and  $ack_n$  at the inputs of C-elements 204 and 202, respectively, comprise the recovery phase of the four-phase protocol during which no data transfer occurs across processing stages 222 and 224.

Conventionally, a pre-determined delay technique is utilized to interface synchronous control systems with an asynchronous pipeline, such as asynchronous pipeline 200. Such a technique is typically limited by the number of synchronous cycles consumed in a worst-case delay experienced by data as it traverses the asynchronous

pipeline. As described herein with reference to **Figures**  
**3, 4, and 5**, the present invention provides an improved  
interface between asynchronous and synchronous logic that  
would permit external management of asynchronous data as  
it travels through the pipeline without resorting to a  
predetermined worst-case delay technique.

With reference now to **FIG. 3**, there is depicted a  
block diagram illustrating a sender and receiver stage of  
an asynchronous pipeline incorporating an external  
control interface in accordance with a preferred  
embodiment of the present invention. As shown in **FIG. 3**,  
an asynchronous pipeline **300** includes sender stage **102**  
that delivers data to receiver stage **104**. Like the  
conventional asynchronous pipeline depicted in **FIG. 1**,  
data path **106** is provided through asynchronous pipeline  
**300** at the interface between sender stage **102** and  
receiver stage **104**. Moreover, the same request line **110**  
and acknowledge line **108** are delivered over a local  
control path. Unlike conventional asynchronous pipeline  
**100**, however, the transmission of data from sender **102** to  
receiver **104** within asynchronous pipeline **300** is  
controlled by an additional pipeline control interface  
**302** in addition to the local control provided by the  
asynchronous pipeline handshake protocol described with  
reference to **FIG. 1**.

Referring to **FIG. 4**, there is depicted an external  
control interface implemented within an asynchronous  
pipeline in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the  
present invention. The asynchronous pipeline illustrated

in **FIG. 4** includes pipeline control interface **302** together with a local pipeline control path **415** and a data path **420**.

Pipeline control interface **302** employs a tag assignment logic module **402** for tagging pipeline data such that the data can be uniquely identified as it passes through various stages. In one embodiment, tag assignment logic module **402** associates an encoded binary string with a particular bundle of data as it enters the pipeline. In an alternate embodiment, the tag associated with a data bundle may be a number of mutually exclusive bit lines. In still another embodiment, a combination of an encoded number and mutually exclusive bit lines may be used.

The assigned data tag value,  $data\_tag_x$ , is delivered into pipeline control interface **302** when the data to which it is assigned is sent into data path **420** such that  $data\_tag_x$  passes through the asynchronous pipeline in parallel with the data. The synchronicity required for such parallel transfer is achieved by a control interface handshake protocol within pipeline control interface **302** acting in concert with the handshake protocol performed within local pipeline control path **415**.

Pipeline control interface **302** further includes a processor **404** that is in communicative contact with data logic stage  $n$ . A control tag,  $ctrl\_tag_n$  is assigned a particular control tag value under the direction of processor **404**. The assignments of data tag values, such

as  $data\_tag_x$ , are delivered to processor **404** from tag assignment logic **402**, such that processor **404** can correlate its assignment of a control tag value with the value of a given data tag. The value of  $ctrl\_tag_n$  is set with respect to the particular data tag value assigned to data arriving at the input of a latch **416**. Thus, when data corresponding to  $data\_tag_x$  arrives at latch **416** (the input of data processing stage  $n$ ), processor **404** sets the value of  $ctrl\_tag_n$  with respect to  $data\_tag_x$  and delivers  $ctrl\_tag_n$  to tag logic module **406**.

Tag logic module **406** that receives both  $ctrl\_tag_n$  and  $data\_tag_x$  as inputs. Tag logic module **406** compares  $ctrl\_tag_n$  and  $data\_tag_x$  to determine whether or not they match. In response to a determination that  $ctrl\_tag_n$  matches  $data\_tag_x$ , tag logic module **406** delivers an enable signal to local clock **410**. It should be noted that local clock comprises logic required for local asynchronous flow control (the C-elements and associated request and acknowledge lines depicted in **FIG. 2**, for example) as well as additional functionality required to provide upper-level control by tag logic module **406**. There are a variety of possible techniques by which an enable signal from tag logic module **406** can be utilized to supersede the local control path handshaking within local pipeline control interface **415**. In the depicted embodiment, a logic AND circuit can be implemented within local clocks **410** and **412** for combining the enable signal with an incoming request signal to initiate the latch control signals into latches **416** and **418**. Thus, in the absence of an enable signal from tag logic module **406**,

local clock will be unable to open latch 416. Upon receipt of an enable signal from tag logic module 406, local clock 410 delivers a latch control signal to latch 416 such that data tagged with  $data\_tag_x$  passes from latch 416 to data logic stage  $n$ . In order to maintain a parallel track between  $data\_tag_x$  and its associated data, the same latch control signal that is delivered to latch 416 is also delivered to a data tag latch within tag logic module 406 such that  $data\_tag_x$  is passed to tag logic module 408.

If, however, tag logic module 406 determines that  $ctrl\_tag_n$  does not match  $data\_tag_x$ , tag logic module will not deliver a signal enabling local clock 410 to pass the data. Thus, by selecting the value for  $ctrl\_tag_n$ , processor 404 may stop the data flow through data path 420 as necessary. This capability is useful for synchronizing the asynchronous pipeline data flow with external processing activities during exceptions or stall conditions that may occur in synchronous processes.

The assignment of a control tag value by processor 404 thus supersedes the local handshake protocol within local pipeline control path 415 as the final determinant of whether or not the data entering a particular stage may pass to the next. Such upper-level data flow control in which data tags are utilized to track particular data as it passes through the pipeline enables synchronous control logic (i.e., processor 404) to interface with asynchronous data at any given stage in the pipeline. For example, data within the asynchronous pipeline that



becomes invalid due to external processing events such as cache misses may be flagged as bad by processor 404 as the data enters any given stage. In addition, processor may selectively perform additional processing on a particular data bundle at any given stage in the pipeline.

In one embodiment of the present invention, a control interface protocol exchanged between processor 404 and tag logic module 406 is used to govern transfer of data through latch 416 as follows. When data that has been assigned  $data\_tag_x$  arrives at the input of closed latch 416, local clock 410 waits for a request signal,  $req_n$ , from the previous local clock indicating that the data at the input of latch 416 is valid. The assigned data tag,  $data\_tag_x$ , arrives at tag logic module 406 at the same time the data arrives at the input of latch 416. While local clock 410 waits for  $req_n$ , tag logic module 406 waits for a control request signal,  $ctrl\_req_n$ , indicating that the value  $ctrl\_tag_n$  has been set by processor 404.

Upon receipt of  $ctrl\_req_n$ , tag logic module 410 compares  $ctrl\_tag_n$  with  $data\_tag_x$  to determine whether or not they match. If so, the data is permitted to pass through latch 416 into stage n logic 414 wherein it is processed in accordance with the combinatorial logic therein with possible input from processor 404. Local clock 410 acknowledges that it has received the data from the previous stage via  $ack_n$  while tag logic delivers a

control acknowledge signal, *ctrl\_ack<sub>n</sub>*, to processor 404 indicating that it has received *ctrl\_tag<sub>n</sub>*.

With reference now to **FIG. 5**, there is depicted a flow diagram illustrating steps performed for externally managing data within an asynchronous pipeline in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention. The process begins at step 502 and proceeds to step 504 which depicts assignment of a data tag value to a particular data bundle. Next, as illustrated at step 506 the assigned data tag is delivered into the control path of the asynchronous pipeline at the same time its associated data is sent into the data path. As explained with reference to **FIG. 4**, the data tag traverses the pipeline in parallel with its associated data.

Proceeding to step 508, when the data tag arrives at a given stage in the pipeline, a control tag value is set with respect to the value assigned to the data tag. The data tag value is compared to the control tag value within tag logic module 406 as shown at step 510 to determine whether or not they match. As depicted at steps 512 and 514, if the data tag value matches the control tag value, the tag logic enables the local control path to pass the data into the next pipeline stage. If, however, the data tag value does not match the control tag value, a further determination is made at step 516 of whether or not the data should be discarded. If so, the process terminates as shown at step 518. If not, the process returns to step 508 wherein the control

tag value is reset. As illustrated in **FIG. 4**, control request, *ctrl\_req*, and control acknowledge, *ctrl\_ack*, lines between the tag logic modules and processor **404** provide a medium and handshake mechanism for transferring control tag requests.

While the invention has been particularly shown and described with reference to a preferred embodiment, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes in form and detail may be made therein without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.